



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/472,677	12/27/1999	MARK D. SMITH	EN999071	9073
7590 06/01/2004 SHELLEY M BECKSTRAND P C ATTORNEY AT LAW 314 MAIN STREET			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CHAU T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OWEGO, NY 13827			2176	12
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/472,677	SMITH, MARK D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,						
The MAILING DATE of this communication on	Chau Nguyen	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation approach for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replevable of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	pril 20 <u>04</u>					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (FTO-102)				

Application/Control Number: 09/472,677 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/28/2004 has been entered. Claims 1, and 3-11 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al. Patent No. 6,199,098 and further in view of Junkin, Patent No. 6,493,717.

٠ , او

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding **independent claim 1**, Jones et al. teaches organizing a site as a list of topical content areas in a content database, each said area containing a list of content items that a user can link to for display using views; and providing a site view as a category oriented view. (Jones et al., Fig. 1E.)

providing a site navigation view (Jones et al., col. 5, lines 9-10.)

Further, Jones et al. teach responsive to a user request for display of the site view, executing an agent to access said site navigation view to obtain and display to the user the latest content. (Jones et al., col. 5, lines 51-57: "Utilizing structure definition file 190 and portions of HTTP request 140, script program 180 dynamically generates HTML Web page 145 specifying a hierarchical TOC display reflecting the currently desired display state. Script program 180 can then cause server software 160 to transmit a copy of Web page 145 back to client computer 100 and browser program 120, for display on monitor 110.").

However, Jones does not explicitly teaches providing a site navigation view as an index on said content database, said index being dynamically updated whenever additions and deletions of area category or content items are made to said content database; and executing an agent to access said site navigation view to obtain and display to said user current area category and items from said content database.

In the similar field of endeavor, Junkin teaches a DataCrawler system includes an interface being capable of utilizing relational/linked data, separate administration and en-user access, easily configurable access to database views, full editing (insert, updating, and delete) capabilities (Abstract, and col. 4, lines 20-28). Junkin also

Art Unit: 2176

discloses the DataCrawler system incorporates a reusable Universal Data Access

Graphical User Interface for navigating and editing database information (col. 4, line 29

- col. 5, line 29 and Figs. 2, 3, and 4), and when a Web server receives a request from

a Web browser, the information my be an up-to-date stock quote is sent to the Web

browser (col. 1, line 64 - col. 2, line 2). Thus it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of

Jones and Junkin to include providing a site navigation view as an index on said content

database, said index being dynamically updated whenever additions and deletions of

area category or content items are made to said content database; and executing an

agent to access said site navigation view to obtain and display to said user current area

category and items from said content database. Junkin suggests that by providing a

universal methodology for exploring and editing database information, the system

combines the flexibility to administer customized interrelated database storage with the

low maintenance overhead of a structured, pre-built interface.

Regarding independent claim 3, the rejection of claim 1 above is fully

incorporated herein.

Regarding independent claim 4, Jones et al. and Junkin teach their invention in

the context of "a client-server network environment like the Web" that inherently would

have contained the recited program storage device.

Further, the rejection of claim 1 above is fully incorporated herein.

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding **independent claim 5**, Jones et al. teach a content database for storing a plurality of documents inasmuch as the retrieval of network documents taught by Jones et al. inherently would have required a content database for storing a plurality of documents. (Jones et al., col. 5, lines 34-43.)

Further, Jones et al. teach a site view layout structure and a site navigation view in as much as they teach a hierarchical display of a table of contents. (Jones et al., col. 5, lines 9-10.)

Further, Jones et al. teach a user browser. (Jones et al., col. 5, lines 34-35.)

Further, Jones et al. teach a create map agent for accessing the site navigation view to identify documents in the content database and extract to the site view layout structure data for presentations in the fields of the site view. (Jones et al., col. 5, lines 43-57: "In addition, in accordance with the present invention, server computer 150 uses script program 180 to process requests involving an expandable table of contents. Script program 180 in turn references structure definition file 190, which defines the overall hierarchical structure of a given TOC; script 180 also references portions of the address path making up HTTP request 140, to extract information about the current display state of the TOC. Utilizing structure definition file 190 and portions of HTTP request 140, script program 180 dynamically generates HTML Web page 145 specifying a hierarchical TOC display reflecting the currently desired display state. Script program 180 can then cause server software 160 to transmit a copy of Web page 145 back to client computer 100 and browser program 120, for display on monitor 110.")

Art Unit: 2176

However, Jones et al. do not explicitly teach a site navigation view for indexing area category and content items in said content database, said index being updated whenever additions and deletions of area category and content items are made to said content database.

In the similar field of endeavor, Junkin teaches a DataCrawler system includes an interface being capable of utilizing relational/linked data, separate administration and en-user access, easily configurable access to database views, full editing (insert, updating, and delete) capabilities (Abstract, and col. 4, lines 20-28). Junkin also discloses the DataCrawler system incorporates a reusable Universal Data Access Graphical User Interface for navigating and editing database information (col. 4, line 29 - col. 5, line 29 and Figs. 2, 3, and 4). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Jones and Junkin to include a site navigation view for indexing area category and content items in said content database, said index being updated whenever additions and deletions of area category and content items are made to said content database. Junkin suggests that by providing a universal methodology for exploring and editing database information, the system combines the flexibility to administer customized interrelated database storage with the low maintenance overhead of a structured, prebuilt interface.

Regarding **dependent claim 9**, Jones et al. teach responsive to a user request for a display of the site view, setting up a site view layout structure. (Jones et al., col. 5,

Art Unit: 2176

lines 51-55: "Utilizing structure definition file 190 and portions of HTTP request 140, script program 180 dynamically generates HTML Web page 145 specifying a hierarchical TOC display reflecting the currently desired display state.")

Further, Jones et al. teach identifying in a navigation view one or more navigation documents. (Jones et al., col. 8, lines 44-47: "At step 465, hypertextual information is determined for the current node. If the node is a leaf node and its entry in structure definition file 190 includes an explicit URL, then that URL is encoded as a hypertext link for that node's entry in the Web page.")

Further, Jones et al. teach for each navigation document identified, determining the category name and adding a list item for said category to the site view layout structure inasmuch as Jones et al. teach documents added to a table of contents in the categories to which they belong. (Jones et al., col. 7, lines 32-52.)

Further, Jones et al. teach copying each list item from the layout structure to the site view for display responsive to the user request. (Jones et al., col. 5, lines 55-58: "Script program 180 can then cause server software 160 to transmit a copy of Web page 145 back to client computer 100 and browser program 120, for display on monitor 110.")

However, Jones et al. do not explicitly teach a site navigation view for indexing area category and content items in said content database, said index being updated whenever additions and deletions of area category and content items are made to said content database.

Art Unit: 2176

built interface.

In the similar field of endeavor, Junkin teaches a DataCrawler system includes an interface being capable of utilizing relational/linked data, separate administration and en-user access, easily configurable access to database views, full editing (insert, updating, and delete) capabilities (Abstract, and col. 4, lines 20-28). Junkin also discloses the DataCrawler system incorporates a reusable Universal Data Access Graphical User Interface for navigating and editing database information (col. 4, line 29 – col. 5, line 29 and Figs. 2, 3, and 4). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Jones and Junkin to include a site navigation view for indexing area category and content items in said content database, said index being updated whenever additions and deletions of area category and content items are made to said content database. Junkin suggests that by providing a universal methodology for exploring and editing database information, the system combines the flexibility to administer customized interrelated database storage with the low maintenance overhead of a structured, pre-

Regarding **independent claim 10**, Jones et al. and Junkin teach the recited computer readable medium with computer readable program code means inasmuch as the recited computer readable medium with computer readable program code means would have been found on the server computer taught by Jones et al. (Jones et al., Fig, 2, block 150.)

Further, the rejection of claim 1 above is fully incorporated herein.

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding **independent claim 11**, Jones et al. and Junkin teach the recited computer program product or computer program element. (Jones et al., Fig, 2, block 150.)

Further, the rejection of claim 1 above is fully incorporated herein.

4. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al. and Junkin as discussed in claims 1, 3-5 and 9-11in view of Kerry A. Lehto et al., *Introducing Microsoft FrontPage97* (Microsoft Press: 1997), pages 144-158.

Regarding **dependent claim 6**, Jones et al. teach the site view including a site map and a table of contents as discussed above regarding claim 2.

Further, Jones et al. teach display of site maps and tables of contents using HTML (Jones et al., col. 5, lines 51-57), but do not explicitly teach the respective use of HTML tables and lists. However, Lehto et al. teach on page 147 that HTML tables provide the benefit of allowing web sites to present information in an ordered way, and to preserve the same appearance of data that will appear on multiple pages. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that a site map contained data that would benefit from being ordered in a table and having its appearance standardized. Also, Lehto et al. teach on page 144 that lists make data easier to read and are a user-friendly form of presentation. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that a table of contents is a structure frequently presented in list form. Therefore, it would

Art Unit: 2176

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use HTML tables and lists for site

maps and tables of contents respectively.

providing a tabular layout structure for the site map and a table of contents form for providing a column layout structure for the table of contents. However, the script

Regarding dependent claim 7, Jones et al. do not teach a site map form for

program for generating the site map and table of contents taught by Jones et al. (Jones

et al., col. 5, lines 51-55) inherently would have contained forms for the site map and

table of contents because otherwise the script program would not have been able to

generate the display of those items. Moreover, one of ordinary skill in the art would

have recognized that the use of such forms would have been efficient and relieved the

web site programmer of the need to repeatedly create HTML for containing the site map

and table of contents. Therefore, in view of the obviousness of using HTML tables and

lists (note that lists are inherently columnar) discussed above regarding claim 6 it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a site map form for

providing a tabular layout structure for the site map and a table of contents form for

providing a column layout structure for the table of contents.

Regarding dependent claim 8, Jones et al. do not explicitly teach the site map

form and the table of contents form providing respective data fields for receiving data

from the create map agent dynamically responsive to a request from a user for display

of the site map or table of contents. However, inasmuch as the site map and table of

Art Unit: 2176

Page 11

contents forms would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as discussed above regarding claim 7, it would have been inherent to provide respective data fields for receiving data from the create map agent because otherwise the information that the user had requested to be displayed could not have been displayed, *i.e.*, data returned from a server would have had to have been placed in fields in order to be displayed.

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4639. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild, can be reached at (703) 305-9792.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are as follows:

(703) 872-9306 (After Final Communications only)

(703) 872-9306 (Official Communications)

(703) 746-7240 (for Official Status Inquiries, Draft Communications only)

Inquiries of a general nature relating to the general status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Chau Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2176

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER